

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK EXAMINER**

**Serial No.** ..... 10/796,613  
**Filing Date** ..... Mar 8, 2004  
**First Named Inventor** ..... Leela S. Tamma  
**Assignee** ..... Microsoft Corporation  
**Group Art Unit** ..... 2191  
**Examiner** ..... Qing Chen  
**Attorney's Docket No.** ..... MS1-1880US  
**Title** ..... Relational Database Schema Version Management

**RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION DATED 5/8/07**

To: Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

From: Kayla D. Brant (Tel. 509-324-9256; Fax 509-323-8979)  
**Customer No. 22801**

**Brief Summary of Selected Substantive Portions of this Response**

[0001] Applicant submits that the Hotti reference does not teach each of the elements of the claims that are subject to the 102 rejections. Furthermore, Applicant submits that the combination of the Hotti reference and the Baisley reference is improper because Baisley is directed to an *object oriented* data repository, and not to a *relational* database.

[0002] This brief summary is not intended to represent the Applicant's full response to the Action. Rather, it is merely a brief summary of selected substantive portions of the response herein.